

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,734	10/10/2000	David Bladsjo	34647-428PT	7122	
27045 75	27045 7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
ERICSSON INC.		FERRIS, DERRICK W			
6300 LEGACY M/S EVR C11	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, TX 75024			2663		•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ık .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Cumment	09/686,734	BLADSJO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Derrick W. Ferris	2663		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,6,8-17,19 and 27-30 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3, 6, 8-17, 19 and 27 is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the original or	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 09/686,734 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-17, 19 and 27-30 as amended are still in consideration for this application. Applicant has amended claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9-14, 16, 17, 27, 28, 29, and 30. Applicant has canceled claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 18, and 20-26.
- 2. Examiner does **not withdraw** the anticipated rejection to *GSM* (and depending rejections) for Office action filed **06/23/04**. In particular, examiner notes applicant is mistaken with respect to the markers taught by *GSM* with respect to applicant's statement in applicant's second full paragraph in Section 2 on page 8. In particular, *GSM* also teaches the ONSET marker. As such, *GSM* also teaches that the ONSET marker precedes a first speech thus teaching a third state, see e.g., Table 2 on Section 6.1 on page 12. In addition, the ONSET marker is an AMR marker. It is noted that applicant also teaches an ONSET marker in transitioning to a third state, see e.g., applicant's figure 4 and applicant's specification at e.g., page 22, first full paragraph. The above rejection is withdrawn for independent claims 1 and 17 given the further clarification of a speech possible state with respect to comfort noise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2663

4. Claims 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by "Digital Cellular Telecommunications System (Phase 2+); Discontinuous Transmission (DTX) for Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) speech traffic channels" to GSM.

As to claim 28, at issue may be the term "physical layer headers" in the context of additional formatting (i.e., handing additional information such as PACCH or MCS 1-9 through "stealing bits") which is not recited in the claims in reference to a similar model presented in applicant's Background, see e.g., pages 6-7 of applicant's specification.

With respect to the limitations, a receiver is presented in figure 3 on page 12 of *GSM*.

The receiver receives traffic frames (i.e., physical layer headers or codes) which specifies the state using the RX-type, see e.g., table 2 on page 12. Thus examiner construes a reasonable but broad interpretation of "physical layer headers" in light of applicant's specification to mean a code associated with a received signal, see applicant's specification at page 12, line 3, in a GSM/Edge network having *any* format, see applicant's specification at page 18, middle paragraph. Examples of specific states are disclosed in Section 6.1.2 starting at page 13 which includes a SPEECH state (i.e., high priority) and a COMFORT_NOISE state (low priority). Also note that an ONSET teaches a third state.

As to claim 30, see similar rejection to claim 28.

As to claim 31, a first state is SPEECH a second state is going from SPEECH to COMFORT_NOISE and a third state is going from COMFORT_NOISE to SPEECH using the ONSET. Also note that *GSM* teaches ONSET in reference to preceding a

Application/Control Number: 09/686,734 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

traffic signal in table 2 at page 12 and middle of page 13. Finally, the receiver searches the channel which can be of type speech of FACCH, see Section 6.1 at page 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Digital Cellular Telecommunications System (Phase 2+); Discontinuous Transmission (DTX) for Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) speech traffic channels" to GSM in view of WO 00/31996 to Ericsson.

In making a proper obviousness rejection under MPEP 706.02(j), the examiner will address the following four steps:

- a) the relevant teachings of the prior art relied upon, preferably with reference to the relevant column or page number(s) and line numbers where appropriate;
- b) the difference of differences in the claim(s) over the applied cited references;
- c) the proposed modification of the applied reference(s) necessary to arrive at the claimed subject matter; and
- d) an explanation why one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to make the proposed modification.

As such to **claim 29**, for step (a) *GSM* discloses limitations in the base claim. *GSM* also discloses priority as mentioned in the rejection for claim 4.

For step (b) GSM is silent or deficient to the further limitation wherein the received frames of higher priority information are diagonally interleaved and received frames of lower priority are block interleaved.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,734

Art Unit: 2663

Ericsson teaches the further recited limitation above at e.g., the abstract.

Page 5

For step (c), the proposed modification of the above-applied reference(s) necessary to arrive at the claimed subject matter would be to modify *GSM* by clarifying that received frames of higher priority information are diagonally interleaved and received frames of lower priority are block interleaved.

In order to establish a prima facie case of obviousness for step (d), three basic criteria must be met. The three criteria according to MPEP 706.02(j) are as follows:

First there must be some suggestion or modification, either in the reference(s) themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine the reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

As such, for step (d) examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art prior to applicant's invention to include the further limitation wherein the received frames of higher priority information are diagonally interleaved and received frames of lower priority are block interleaved. In particular, the motivation for modifying the reference or to combine the reference teachings would be fast in-band signalling of configuration changes. In particular, *Ericsson* cures the above-cited deficiency by providing a motivation found at e.g., the abstract. Second, there would be a reasonable expectation of success since both references disclose DTX using the SID. Thus the references either in singular or in combination teach the above claim limitation(s).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,734

Art Unit: 2663

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick W. Ferris whose telephone number is (571) 272-3123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M. - 4:30 P.M. E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick W. Ferris Examiner Art Unit 2663 Application/Control Number: 09/686,734

Art Unit: 2663

CHI PHAM

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 (2)